



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/701,404

11/03/2003

Benjamin Wilken

12221-020001

6346

26161 7590 09/20/2007
FISH & RICHARDSON PC
P.O. BOX 1022
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

EXAMINER

BESROUR, SAOUSSEN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2131

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/20/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/701,404

Applicant(s)

WILKEN ET AL.

Examiner

Saoussen Besrou

Art Unit

2131

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 June 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to amendment filed 6/26/2007. Claims 1, 5, 8, 14, 20, 24, 28, 33 and 35 were amended. Claims 1-36 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

3. Examiner would like to point out that a preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. **Claims 1, 5, 12, 13, 14, 18, 28 and 32** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2131

The term "short and long" in claims 1, 5, 12, 13, 14, 18, 28 and 32 are relative terms which render the claims indefinite. The terms "long and short" are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. **Claims 1-36** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gupta et al. (US 7,234,168).

As per **claim 1**, Gupta discloses: adding host-pair connection records to a connection table each time a host accesses another host (Column 10, Lines 26-44 and Liens 41-44); at the end of a short update period, accessing the connection table to determine new host pairs (Column 11, Lines 10-21); determining the number of new host pairs added to the table over the short update period (Column 11, Lines 10-21 and Column 11, Lines 23-37); and if a host has made more than a first threshold number "C

Art Unit: 2131

1" host pairs, and the number of host pairs in the profile is smaller than the threshold number by a first factor value "C2", then indicating to a console that the new host is a scanner (Column 11, Lines 10-21).

As per **claim 8**, Gupta discloses: retrieving from a connection table logged values of protocols and ports used in host pair connections records in the table (Column 11, Lines 10-21); determining if the number of ports used in the historical profile is considerably smaller by a factor "C 1" than a current number of ports being scanned by a host and the current number is greater than a lower-bound threshold "C2", to record the anomaly (Column 11, Lines 10-21); and reporting a port scan to a console (Column 12, Lines 1-8).

As per **claim 14**, Gupta discloses add host-pair connection records to a connection table each time a host accesses another host, at the end Of a short update period, accessing the connection table to determine new host pairs (Column 10, Lines 26-34 and Lines 41-44); determine the number of new host pairs added to the table over the update period (Column 11, Lines 10-21, Lines 23-27); and if a host has made more than a first threshold number "C1" host pairs, and the number of host pairs in the profile is smaller than the threshold number by a first factor value "C2", then indicate to a console that the new host is a scanner (Column 11, Lines 10-21).

As per **claims 20, 33**, Gupta discloses retrieve from a connection table logged values of protocols and ports used for host pair connections in the table (Column 10, Lines 26-34, 41-44 and Column 11, Lines 11-27); determine if the number of ports used in the historical profile is considerably smaller by a factor "C1" than a current number of

Art Unit: 2131

ports being scanned by a host and the current number is greater than a lower-bound threshold "C2", to record the anomaly (Column 10, Lines 26-34, 41-44 and Column 11, Lines 11-27); and report a port scan to a console (Column 12, Lines 1-8).

As per **claims 24, 28**, Gupta discloses circuitry to add host-pair connection records to a connection table each time a host accesses another host, at the end of a short update period, accessing the connection table to determine new host pairs (Column 10, Lines 26-34, 41-44 and Column 11, Lines 11-27); circuitry to determine the number of new host pairs added to the table over the update period; and if a host has made more than a first threshold number "C 1" host pairs, and the number of host pairs in the profile is smaller than the threshold number by a first factor value "C2", then circuitry to indicate to a console that the new host is a scanner (Column 10, Lines 26-34, 41-44 and Column 11, Lines 11-27; Column 12 Lines 1-8).

As per **claim 2, 15, 25 and 29**, rejected as applied to claim 1, 14, 24 and 28. Gupta discloses wherein "C1" and "C2" are adjustable thresholds (Column 6, Lines 37-44).

As per **claim 3, 16, 26 and 30**, rejected as applied to claim 2, 14, 24 and 28. Gupta discloses wherein the connection table is a current time-slice connection table and host pair records are added to the current time slice connection table (Column 11, Lines 14-15, rate profile).

As per **claim 4, 17, 27 and 31**, rejected as applied to claim 3, 16, 24 and 28. Gupta discloses aggregating records from the current time-slice table into a long update period table (Column 10, Lines 35-45); and checking for ping scans at the end of a long

Art Unit: 2131

update period (Column 7, Lines 30-45); and indicating hosts which produced more than "C3" new host pairs over the long update period (Column 11, Lines 10-21).

As per **claim 5, 18**, rejected as applied to claim 4, 17. Gupta discloses at the end of the long update period, accessing the long update connection table to determine new host pairs that the process had not previously determined before in the profile (column 11, Lines 10-21); determining the number of new host pairs added to the table over the long update period (Column 11, Lines 10-21); and if a host has made more than a first threshold number "C4" host pairs, and the number of host pairs in the profile is smaller than the threshold number by a first factor value "C5", then indicating the new host as a scanner (Column 10, Lines 26-34, 41-44 and Column 11, Lines 11-27, Column 12 Lines 1-8).

As per **claim 6, 19**, rejected as applied to claim 1, 14. Gupta discloses maintaining Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) packet statistics in the connection table and for sparse subnets tracking the number of generated ARP requests that do not receive responses to detect scans on sparse sub-networks (Column 11, Lines 10-27).

As per **claim 7**, rejected as applied to claim 1. Gupta discloses: the scanning attack is a ping scanning attack (Column 7, Lines 30-45).

As per **claim 9, 21 and 34**, rejected as applied to claim 8, 20 and 33. Gupta discloses assigning a severity level to the port scan and reporting the severity level of the port scan (Column 23, Lines 65- Column 24, Lines 6).

As per **claim 10, 22 and 35**, rejected as applied to claim 8, 21 and 34. Gupta discloses: the reported severity varies as a function of the deviation from historical norm (Column 11, Lines 10-27).

As per **claim 11, 23 and 37**, rejected as applied to claim 8, 21 and 34. Gupta discloses: determining from the connection table statistics about TCP reset (RST) packets and ICMP port-unreachable packets to detect a spike in the number of RST packets and ICMP port-unreachable packets relative to the profile to increase the severity of a port scan event (Column 7, Lines 29045).

As per **claim 12**, rejected as applied to claim 8. Gupta discloses: determining occurs at the end of short update periods to detect normal scans (Column 7, Lines 10-15).

As per **claim 13**, rejected as applied to claim 8. Gupta discloses wherein determining occurs at the end of long update periods to detect stealthy scans (Column 7, Lines 10-15).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saoussen Besrouer whose telephone number is 571-272-6547. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am to 5:00pm.

Art Unit: 2131

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SB
September 14, 2007

CHRISTOPHER REVAK
PRIMARY EXAMINER

